

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DAT		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,328 10/03/2003		Gaston S. Ormazabal	Verizon-36 (03-1506)	2567		
32127	7590	09/28/2006		EXAMINER		
VERIZON	ſ	•	HOFFMAN, BRANDON S			
		ENT GROUP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTO		SE ROAD, SUITE 50 2201-2909	2136			
				DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Commons	10/678,32	28	ORMAZABAL ET	AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	•	Brandon S		2136					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communications r Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	ldress				
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEMENT IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INCHEMENT IN COMMENT I	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no eve tion. period will apply and wi y statute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUN ent, however, may a II expire SIX (6) MO ication to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1							
2a) □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	· 	_		tters, prosecution as to the	e merits is				
٠,۵	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		,,						
-		nation	:						
	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.								
7)∐ 8)☐									
,			equiternent.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		•					
10)🖾	The drawing(s) filed on 03 October 2003	is/are: a)⊠ acce	epted or b)	objected to by the Examin	ier.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	te the attache	ed Office Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have bee	n received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	•								
Attachmen	t(s)			•					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	•				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:									
i apei notopinian date									

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 9, lines 19 and 20, cite "a **second test device**", "wherein the **first test device** includes". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. <u>Claims 1-7 and 9-13</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McClure et al. (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2003/0195861).

Regarding <u>claims 1, 5, and 9, McClure et al.</u> teaches a firewall test system/method, comprising:

A first test device located on an untrusted side of said firewall (fig. 1, ref. num
 104), the first test device including:

Application/Control Number: 10/678,328

Art Unit: 2136

 A session signal generator for transmitting a communications session initiation signal using an IP address corresponding to said signal source to establish a communications session to be conducted through said firewall (fig. 5 and paragraph 0013);

Page 3

- A probe signal generator for generating test signals at a range of ports in a
 first side of said firewall through which media signals may be transmitted
 when said ports are open, said test signals including said IP address
 (paragraph 0130); and
- Timing synchronization circuitry for synchronizing said session signal generator and said probe signal generator to at least one of another test device and a clock signal source located external to said first test device (paragraph 0080); and
- A second test device located on a trusted side of said firewall (fig. 1, ref. num 106), the second test device including:
 - Means for monitoring a second side of said firewall to detect any transmitted test signals that pass through said firewall (paragraph 0324);
 and
 - An analysis module for identifying any open ports that are not associated
 with an established communications session, which passed at least one of
 said transmitted test signals, as erroneously open ports (paragraph 0355,
 361-363).

Regarding <u>claims 2 and 10</u>, <u>McClure et al.</u> teaches wherein said probe signal generator generates IP packets which include said IP address as a source address (paragraph 0035).

Regarding <u>claims 3 and 11</u>, <u>McClure et al.</u> teaches wherein said analysis module includes:

- Means for determining from at least one session initiation signal at least one port associated with the established communication session that should be open (paragraph 0361); and
- Means for generating an error signal indicating that said at least one port
 associated with the established communication session is erroneously closed if a
 test signal is not detected passing through said port to the second side of said
 firewall (fig. 3, ref. num 339).

Regarding <u>claims 4 and 13</u>, <u>McClure et al.</u> teaches wherein said first test device further includes:

- An analysis module for monitoring the second side of said firewall to determine if said first test signal passed through said firewall (fig. 3, ref. num 324, 326, and 339); and
- A report generation module for reporting a firewall error if it is determined that said first signal passed through said firewall (paragraph 0032).

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding claims 6 and 7, McClure et al. teaches wherein further comprising:

- Operating the [first/second] test device to communicate information identifying
 ports through which test signals were detected passing through said firewall from
 the [second/first] side to the [second/first] test device (fig. 4); and
- Operating the [second/first] test device to generate a test report including
 information about the status of unidirectional ports used to communicate signals
 from the first side to the second side and unidirectional ports used to
 communicate signals from the second side to the first side (fig. 2, ref. num 212).

Regarding <u>claim 12</u>, <u>McClure et al.</u> teaches wherein the test signal generator of said first test device includes means for transmitting a first test signal at the first side of said network firewall from the signal source using an IP address that is not associated with any ongoing communications session being conducted through said firewall prior to said communications session initiation signal being generated (paragraph 0034).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. <u>Claims 8 and 14</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClure et al. (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2003/0195861) in view of Read (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2004/0028035).

Regarding <u>claims 8 and 14</u>, <u>McClure et al.</u> teaches all the limitations of claims 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9. However, <u>McClure et al.</u> does not teach wherein said session signal generates at least one of SIP and H.323 compliant signals.

Read teaches wherein said session signal generates at least one of SIP and H.323 compliant signals (paragraph 0094).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine using SIP or H.323 compliant signals, as taught by Read, with the method/system of McClure et al. It would have been obvious for such modifications because SIP and H.323 are common signals for generating sessions between computers using TCP and UDP for transmitting voice data.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon S. Hoffman whose telephone number is 571-272-3863. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser G. Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/678,328

Page 7

Art Unit: 2136

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BH

Branks If

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

9,25,06